MINUTES OF THE
BOARD OF REGENTS
Special Meeting
Wednesday, August 17, 2011
1:15 p.m.

I. PRELIMINARY MATTERS

Attendance:

Board of Regents: Regent Juan T. Lizama, BOR Chairperson; Regent Elaine Hocog Orilla, Vice-Chairperson; Regent Frank M. Rabauliman; Regent William S. Torres; Regent Andrew L. Orsinii; and Regent Maria (Malua) T. Peter

Honorary Members: Dr. John Griffin, Faculty Senate President; Maria B. Calilong, Acting ASNMC President; and Marie Coleman, Staff Senate Member.

College Staff and Faculty: Dr. Sharon Y. Hart, NMC President; Galvin Guerro, OIE Director/Accreditation Liaison Officer; Frankie Eliptico, OIA Director; Bobbie Hunter, HRO; Leo Pangelinan, Dean of Student Services; John Manalo, HRO Director; Jack Kiyoshi, HRO; Bobbie Merfa, Dean of Academic Programs & Services, Skep Palacios, OIA Staff; Rogelio Madriaga, CFAO; Eric Abragan, Acting IT Director; and Helen B. Camacho, OIA Administrative Manager (Recorder).

Others: Jesus C. Borja, NMC Legal Counsel; Moneth Deposa, Saipan Tribune News Reporter; and Junhan Todeno, Marianas Variety News Reporter.

The Special Meeting of the Board of Regents was called to order on Wednesday, August 17, 2011 at 1:15pm in the BOR Conference Room at the Northern Marianas College, Saipan campus.

a. Roll Call

BOR Chairperson Juan T. Lizama called roll. Six members of the Board of Regents were present.

b. Review and Adoption of Agenda

Regent Orsini inquired if Regent Torres’ agenda items were included in today’s meeting. Chairperson Lizama acknowledged the comment and proceeded with the order of business.
Regent Torres indicated that the June 17, 2011 Special Meeting minutes was tabled at the previous board meeting and should be included in today’s meeting for adoption. However, due to the oversight that it was not included for today’s meeting, the board agreed to discuss it further in executive session.

Motion: Regent Orilla moved to adopt the August 17, 2011 Special Meeting agenda.
Second: Regent Peter seconded the motion.
Vote: The motion passed unanimously.

### c. Review and Adoption of Minutes

Regent Orsini shared with the audience that, in the previous meeting of the board on July 14, 2011 that had continued on July 15, 2011 when Regent Orilla by acclamation to remain as the BOR Vice-Chairperson, although he had to leave early to catch a flight, he would have also voted for her. This is to reaffirm the board’s unified position.

1. July 14, 2011 (Continued July 15, 2011) Special Meeting – Tabled for executive session and will be taken up in the latter part of the agenda.

### II. PUBLIC COMMENTS – None

### III. REPORTS

1. Fiscal & Legislative Committee – Regent Rabauliman provided an oral report. He indicated that there would be items to be introduced for the board’s review and approval.
2. Personnel Committee – Regent Orsini provided a brief update. He reported status quo pending the President’s review as to any outcomes or changes that were reflected in the July Special Meeting.
3. Program Committee – Regent Peter provided a status update. She noted that the committee would be introducing four new policies for review and adoption.
4. Training and Development Committee – Regent Orilla provided a brief update. She indicated that the CNMI ARRA Office, also known as the Integrated Professional Services (IPS), recently reviewed and approved the RFP for the boardmanship training to be provided by a qualified training agency. The training will include, but will not be limited to, policy development, board roles and responsibilities, governance and management, institutional autonomy, distinction between governance and management, relationship of the Chairperson and the CEO, relationship of the CEO and the board, and responsibility of the Chairperson. The RFP is currently being advertised in the local papers and the IPS website, deadline to submit a proposal is on August 31, 2011.

Chairperson Lizama opened the floor for any discussion. Regent Orsini inquired if the training for board members will take place before the October 15, 2011 WASC Report. Regent Orilla responded that the training will take place before this date but there are also other trainings available too.
Chairperson Lizama inquired if Regent Orilla will have any assistance from the administration. Regent Orilla noted that President Hart, Frankie and Helen would be assisting her committee in this regard. Chairperson Lizama also added that the board training is just the beginning of a long series of suggested gatherings that the board will have in the coming months.

President Hart agreed and mentioned that aside from the monthly board meetings; there will be a number of upcoming board activities related to board professional development opportunities that the board member can avail themselves as well. President Hart mentioned that there are trainings coming up and highlighted some dates:

3. October 2011 American Association of Community Colleges (AACC) in China: A great opportunity to promote the development of vocational and technical programs and to stimulate interest in inter-college partnerships.

Chairperson Lizama wants the board to establish transparency about any plans or discussions related to board professional development trainings. The board should not be inhibited to discuss their interest to attend any future board training. He also added that the ACCJC has also expressed the importance of training for board members. President Hart agreed and also mentioned that board trainers tend to recommend that board members avail themselves of a board professional development opportunity at least once a year. She also recommended that the board should start looking at institutionalizing “board trainings” through policy and so this way they can be in a mode of continuous professional development throughout the year.

IV. OLD BUSINESS

a. WASC Accreditation Update

Galvin Guerrero, OIE Director/ALO provided a brief update on accreditation matters. He indicated that the follow up report is due to the ACCJC commission on or before October 15, 2011. Galvin also added that aside from the upcoming follow up report, the staff is also focused on the upcoming 2012 Self-Study process. He said that the college recently participated in an accreditation workshop held on August 8-12, 2011. The workshop was a success. Galvin Guerrero indicated that a draft of the October 15, 2011 follow up report would be provided by September 23, 2011.

Regent Torres requested for a flow chart to include the dates of all the pertinent documents that are going to be submitted for the final report. He would like a timeline of the process of all the activities that are ongoing toward fulfilling this goal.
President Hart noted the request and asked Galvin to come up with a calendar of when all of these events are taking place. Galvin Guerrero noted that the commission has a policy on public disclosure of documents.

Regent Orsini agrees that communication is very vital and important to the board and the community. He emphasized that it is important for the institution to be transparent and pro-active.

President Hart doesn’t believe in surprises. She said that when the committees give the information to Galvin and the committee chairs, a draft document will then be provided to the board.

Galvin Guerrero agrees that the board should be apprised immediately and President Hart is maintaining that level of transparency. She is also going to be providing bi-monthly reports to the board.

Chairperson Lizama reminisced about his time in school where he was required to submit things in a timely manner. However, he understands that his colleagues are asking for advanced reporting. Chairperson Lizama prefers final reports.

President Hart mentioned that a major link to all of these concerns would be addressed when the board adopts its calendar for upcoming meetings.

V. NEW BUSINESS

a. FY2011 Supplemental Budget

Regent Rabauliman, Fiscal and Legislative Chairperson provided a brief update. He indicated that the F&L Committee met recently to discuss the FY2011 Supplemental Budget and is ready to present it for the board’s review and subsequent approval. He indicated that the recent 7% reduction for FY2011 appropriations requires that the college make some adjustments (handout provided) to cover the shortfall. The total amount of $836,160 is needed from the tuition and fees budget to cover this shortfall. Regent Rabauliman also mentioned that the college is having a difficult time receiving its allotments from the Department of Finance.

Motion: Regent Rabauliman moved to approve the FY2011 Supplemental Budget of $836,160.
Second: Regent Peter seconded the motion.
Discussion: Regent Orsini needed some clarification. He wanted to know the timeframe that this report covers. Regent Rabauliman said that it would begin on the day it is approved and will end at the end of the fiscal year.
Vote: The motion passed unanimously.
Regent Orsini said that there are other areas that are being covered aside from the supplemental. Regent Rabauliman offered an explanation and noted the following:

1. The college received the FY2011 budget from appropriations, however, midway into the fiscal year, an advisory was issued from the Office of Management & Budget informing all of the agencies that rely on appropriations that they will be further reduced by 7%.
2. The college recommended taking $836,160 from tuition and fees to cover the shortfall.

Regent Orsini wanted to know if the college is going to be reimbursed for this adjustment. Rogelio Madriaga, CFAO informed the board that the college will be reimbursed. Regent Orsini asked if the college is going to anticipate any shortfall. CFAO Madriaga said that the college anticipates a projected shortfall.

Regent Orsini wanted to be assured that when the board approves this report that the college will be reimbursed. CFAO Madriaga understands Regent Orsini’s concerns but he also cannot guarantee that things are going to go as planned. He also added that the purpose of the supplemental budget is to cover the projected shortfalls in personnel and utilities. Regent Orsini wanted some assurance that the college will be reimbursed. CFAO Madriaga assured the board that they will be informed when the allotments come through from the Department of Finance.

Regent Torres outlined some of the financial changes that are occurring drastically within a couple of months. He requested to be apprised of these concerns. CFAO Madriaga gave a brief synopsis and listed some important projections below:

1. $419,000 - Suspended Tuition and Fees fourth quarter allotment that should have been used to cover other expenses.
2. Projections are monitored but it is not a constant amount.
3. $80,000 - additional amount needed to cover the shortfall.

Regent Torres wanted to know the real bottom line number. CFAO Madriaga said that $836,000 is the bottom line but this could change. He also added that he couldn’t guarantee that there would be no changes because if revenue collections continue to decrease, the Department of Finance will seize the allotments to the government agencies. Regent Torres wanted to know the pay period. CFAO Madriaga highlighted the following:

1. 4.4 Million
2. FY2011 shortfall (Personnel and Utilities)
3. Reprogramming of federal funds to take care of utilities.
4. Tuition and Fees to cover Personnel
5. Four Transfers behind from the Department of Finance ($800,000).
CFAO Madriaga said that we must come up with our cash to pay our employees until
the Department of Finance transfers our allotments. He also added that we must
maintain a positive cash flow otherwise paychecks will bounce. Regent Torres said
that it’s somewhat in essence like borrowing. Regent Orilla said it’s called
Reprogramming. Regent Torres said that there is no expectation of ever replenishing
these funds. CFAO Madriaga said yes but not for the entire amount. CFAO Madriaga
added that if appropriations cannot cover the shortfall then there would be payless
paydays. Regent Torres said that in addition to the immediate change from the budget
to the actual, there is a continuous decline in funding.

President Hart said that there are other priority areas, but some of those had to be
stopped in order to move from the tuition and fees to this.

b. Proposed Board Policy Format
President Hart presented a sample of the new board policy format. She indicated
that the proposed policies would fall into 9 different categories listed below. President Hart
briefly talked about the various polices that will be affected. President Hart indicated
that the policies would be easier to read.

100s – Introduction
200s – Governance and Organization
300s – Academic Affairs
400s – Student Affairs
500s – Personnel
600s – Compensation
700s – Financial Affairs
800s – Facilities
900s – Miscellaneous

Regent Orsini noted some overlapping policies that have been very confusing.
President Hart agreed and listed some of the changes that will be addressed in the new
proposed policies.

Regent Peter noted the concern and indicated that President Hart will fully explain and
establish these guidelines.

Regent Torres suggested the inclusion of a glossary for the policies. Regent Orsini
asked about the Presidential Search Committee policy. Regent Orilla responded that
this particular policy would be taken up another time.

Discussions ensued and Counsel Borja inquired about the placement of the
Procurement Policy. President Hart noted that it would fall under Financial Affairs.
Counsel Borja made a suggestion. He indicated that because the NMC Procurement
Policy is already published in the Administrative Procedure Code, it would be a good idea that NMC make the numbering system similar to that of the Procurement Policy.

Regent Torres said that he believes that procurement should be separated from financial affairs because it is an entirely different paradigm. He added that he is concerned that although the Procurement Policy is required to go through the Administrative Procedures Act, other policies are not be required to do so. Counsel Borja explained that, because of NMC’s autonomy, NMC does not publish its policies in the “Commonwealth Register” unless the proposed policy will affect third parties, i.e., non NMC faculty and staff. This was why the procurement policy went through the “Commonwealth Register.”

Chairperson Lizama noted the concerns raised and requested to proceed with the agenda. He asked President Hart and the CFAO to further review the concerns raised in terms of the placement of Procurement Policy. Chairperson Lizama hopes that Regents Torres and Orsini will participate in future discussions on this matter. Chairperson Lizama closed discussions and continued with the vote.

**Motion:** Regent Peter moved to approve the board policy format with the exception of the Procurement Policy.

**Second:** Regent Orsini seconded the motion.

**Discussion:** Regent Torres requested to include a Glossary and Cross-reference or codification of authority to show the origin of the policy.

**Vote:** The motion passed unanimously.

c. **Board Policies for Consideration**

Regent Peter indicated that the Program Committee met recently to review the proposed policies and is ready to recommend approval.

**Motion:** Regent Torres moved to consolidate all of the policies (listed below) for approval with the additions to include the glossary and cross-reference.

1. **Board Policy No. 100.01:** Constitutional and Statutory Authority
2. **Board Policy No. 100.02:** Mission and Vision of the Northern Marianas College
3. **Board Policy No. 201.0:** Monitoring College Effectiveness
4. **Board Policy No. 906:** Integrity and Ethics

**Second:** Regent Orilla seconded the motion.

**Discussion:** Counsel Borja pointed out that for Board Policy No. 100.01: Constitutional and Statutory Authority, the word constitutional is in the title of the policy but nowhere does he find the word “Constitution” in the context. He said that the policy should also mention the Constitutional Authority when it was created in 1985.

Regent Torres asked to proceed in principle and decipher the details afterwards.
Regent Orilla requested for further clarification on the concerns raised by Counsel Borja. Regent Rabauliman responded that there was no mention of the constitution. Chairperson Lizama reiterated the concerns raised by Counsel Borja and the legal counsel will work closely with President Hart to make sure that the policy is worded properly.

**Vote:** The motion passed unanimously

### d. Calendar of upcoming board meetings:

President Hart informed the board that they are not able to act on a new schedule until the board changes its policy.

Regent Orsini recommended that regular monthly meetings and special meetings could follow on a needed basis. The recommendation is for 2nd Tuesdays of the month. Regent Rabauliman indicated that the suggestion from the Program Committee for the first year is for the board to meet every month.

Regent Orsini supports a set schedule. Chairperson Lizama indicated that Counsel Borja said that having a set schedule provides public awareness of when the board meets so that they can prepare to attend meetings ahead of time.

President Hart explained that the reason for setting a schedule ensures that the board addresses certain things during the specified time periods. Chairperson Lizama agreed and was pleased that the board supports the proposal of holding regular monthly meetings. The policy will be adopted at the next regular meeting.

### e. Senate Bill No. 17-57 (Student Regent)

Regent Frank Rabauliman provided a brief report on the proposed Senate Bill No. 17-57 (Student Regent). He indicated that the legislature finds that the people that are the most affected do not have voting powers. The bill proposes all privileges, rights and authority be given to a student regent. The college supports the bill with certain modifications to the language. President Hart indicated that the college would take whatever direction the board tells them to.

Regent Rabauliman agreed and after much review by the Fiscal and Legislative Committee, he is ready to make a recommendation.

Regent Orsini shared some of his past experiences as a former student regent at the University of Guam. He believes that the members should consider the selection criteria, for example, maturity. He also asked if the student body has been given the opportunity to comment on the proposed bill. Regent Rabauliman indicated that there were two big items that came out of the discussion. First, the modification to the language to the proposed bill that speaks to the selection criteria. Second, has the student body provided comments to...
the proposed bill? The student regent informed him that it has not because it is summertime.

Chairperson Lizama noted that it would be probably best if the college were allowed to submit a recommendation to the legislature. The recommendation can be a suggestive policy to support the bill.

Regent Rabauliman added that the recommendation would then be brought back to the NMC Board of Regents to decide on the selection criteria. Chairperson Lizama said it’s a reasonable approach and also encompasses different aspects of the college community.

Regent Rabauliman brought up another concern of having an eighth member on the board. He indicated that according to Robert’s Rules of Order, the Chairperson of the board would not be able to vote but only be able to do so in the event that there is a tie.

Counsel Borja noted that it doesn’t have to be the case. He explained that the chairperson can continue voting but in the event of a tie, how the chair votes would govern.

Regent Torres asked what about when there is a tie. Counsel Borja responded that how the chair voted would determine the outcome. He said that Robert’s Rules apply unless you have specific policy that dictates otherwise. Chairperson Lizama said that they would leave it up with the legal counsel and for the board’s purpose it would be best that they refer to Robert’s Rules.

Regent Orilla indicated that the board had adopted to follow Robert’s Rules.

Counsel Borja also added that there is another concern. He noted that the amended version that was introduced says that the student member shall not require the appointment of the governor nor the advice and consent of the senate. The constitution says that the governor appoints all regents, as such, the original version is unconstitutional because the constitution requires a gubernatorial appointment. The bill could be challenged because it contradicts the constitution.

Regent Orsini gave an example when he was sworn in as a student regent and said that it is all for ceremonial purposes only. Counsel Borja indicated that he understands his views, however, the statute makes it official.

Regent Torres read an excerpt from the constitution. He indicated that nowhere does it state that the governor has to appoint a regent. In fact, the constitution defers to the legislature. Furthermore, the composition of the board of regents and other matters pertaining to the operations of the college shall be provided by law.

Chairperson Lizama recommended meeting with the author of the bill and perhaps the board can lead the way for all governance bodies. Regent Torres said that the college has asserted itself by the mere fact that the body welcomes a student regent and therefore, legislation is not needed.
Counsel Borja said that if what you’re seeking is for a student regent to have equal voting rights as with the board members then it’s incumbent upon the board to make it as strong as possible in the event of a close vote on a major issue.

President Hart said that it is quite common in some states where the governor does appoint the board; it is about coming through the process of the board.

Regent Orsini said that it is a good point but there is a legal issue of whether the student regent will be covered under the indemnification law. Chairperson Lizama said that it is irrelevant. He said that the issue at hand is whether the board wants to go by way of legislation or a resolution.

**Motion:** Regent Torres moved for the board to adopt a resolution for the governor to appoint a student regent to the NMC Board of Regents.
**Second:** Regent Peter seconded the motion.
**Discussion:** Regent Rabauliman clarified if this means that the board does not want legislation. Regent Torres explained that it is an alternative way retaining the core principles of autonomy.
**Vote:** The motion passed unanimously.

Dr. Griffin clarified whether or not the governor would appoint the student based on the recommendation from the college.

Maria Calilong, ASNMC Acting President offered some comments and believes that it should be kept with the Associated Students of Northern Marianas College. Chairperson Lizama said that perhaps the concerns would be a procedural one.

Counsel Borja offered some explanation with regard to the advice and legal counsel noted that it and another government agency have provided legal opinions saying that regents do not need the advice and consent of the Senate.

President Hart suggested for the board to look at what direction they want to take in addressing the various legislative pieces currently on hand and also to meet with the legislative members about the legislative pieces. Furthermore, President Hart suggested that the board look into establishing a procedure of how to handle legislative matters.

Chairperson Lizama recommended that Regent Rabauliman take the lead on this matter and to report back.

**Motion:** Regent Rabauliman moved to support the Senate Bill along with the modifications.
**Second:** Regent Peter seconded the motion.
**Vote:** The motion passed unanimously.
Regent Torres indicated that Counsel Borja takes the position that the student regent must be enacted through college and legislative resolutions.

Break called at 3:29 p.m on Wednesday, August 17, 2011
Break ended at 3:50 p.m on Wednesday, August 17, 2011

f. House Resolution No. 17-33 (NMC CREES Aquaculture Development Plan)

Regent Rabauliman, Fiscal & Legislative Committee Chairperson presented H.R. No. 17-33 pertaining to the NMC CREES Aquaculture Development Plan (handout provided). The committee is recommending that the board endorse the resolution and send a request to ensure that the resolution meets local and state standards.

Motion: Regent Rabauliman moved to endorse approval of H.R. No. 17-33 (NMC CREES Aquaculture Development Plan).
Second: Regent Orilla seconded the motion.
Discussion: A discussion ensued regarding the passage procedures of the resolution.
Vote: The motion passed unanimously.

g. House Bill No. 17-188 (Education Tax Credit)

Regent Frank Rabauliman, F&L Committee Chairperson presented H.B. No. 17-188 pertaining to the Education Tax Credit (handout provided). Regent Rabauliman indicated to the members that in a previous house bill, it asked to suspend the education tax credit in its entirety in the hope that funds will be brought back to the general fund. He indicated that the intent of the bill was to try and stop the reports of abuses of education tax credit donations. The bill brought in some opposition from the public school system and the college. NMC also tried to oppose the bill. Subsequently, the governor vetoed the bill because he did not see how the bill would address the intent. As a result of the governor’s veto, H.B. 17-188 was introduced. The bill eliminates NMC from receiving education tax credit donations. The Public School System would be the only recipient allowed to receive ETC funds under this law. Given the aforementioned reasons, the F&L Committee recommends to the full board, to formally adopt the position that seeks NMCs inclusion to the list of recipients for ETC donations.

Motion: Regent Rabauliman moved to endorse H.B. 17-188 (Education Tax Credit).
Second: Regent Peter seconded the motion.
Discussion: Regent Torres indicated that the bill does not give NMC exclusive rights from receiving donations. The priority is on the primary and secondary institutions but does not necessarily foreclose the ability of higher education institutions to be able to receive funds.
Vote: The motion passed unanimously.
Counsel Borja understands Regent Torres’ concern but does not necessarily agree with him and explains that because of the way the bill is written. In sum, if the Commissioner of Education certifies that an institution primarily teaches in the primary and secondary educational level, while it is not exclusive, he does not see how you could include NMC because he does not see NMC teaching in the primary and secondary education level.

Regent Torres asked if whether or not the bill forecloses the ability of the institution to receive ETC funds. Counsel Borja said that it does because NMC would not be able to make the claim that it is primarily teaching in the primary and secondary level. President Hart doesn’t feel comfortable making that claim. Counsel Borja said that NMC cannot make that claim and therefore precludes NMC altogether.

Chairperson Lizama stated that there is a foreclosure because one cannot claim that it has received a donation and make a claim that it is for the purposes of a tax credit.

Dr. Griffin noted that NMC does provide many developmental courses to prepare students for college level courses. As such, NMC could argue that it is involved in secondary education.

Regent Torres said that if we successfully engage with PSS, especially with graduating seniors and juniors, then we might be able to justify NMC’s inclusion into the ETC program.

Frankie Eliptico noted that the intention of the author of the bill is to remove NMC from the ETC program. But if NMC submits a position paper, the author would be willing to re-include NMC as an ETC donation recipient.

President Hart noted that including NMC is extremely important because the Foundation receives 90 percent of its revenue from ETC donations.

Regent Torres suggested an idea that the college bills itself as an institution of higher education and to be the sole custodian of all ETC donations being made to institutions of higher education.

President Hart noted the suggestion but indicated that if NMC were to position itself as a custodian instead of billing itself as a higher education institution, then it should open up doors in terms of including the college as a recipient.

Regent Torres is stretching the rubber band by asking about the different instances of not billing NMC as a higher education institution. President Hart gave an example of other higher education institutions encouraging contributions to come in and the state would match the contribution.

Regent Orilla inquired whether the college would have control of its finances in terms of the ETC donations. Counsel Borja said that historically, it wasn’t the legislature who removed that provision from the constitution, it was NMCs suggestion through a
constitutional amendment to remove that function of the board because there were no funds ever appropriated from the legislature to carry out those duties. He also said that the same problem would rise again if the president were given the authority to remove such funds.

Regent Torres noted that the college, through federal grants, could apply funds to administrative costs, among other things. Regent Rabauliman agreed and said that it would not hurt for the college to make a suggestion to the legislature a certain percentage from what is donated.

Counsel Borja commented on an earlier statement made by President Hart with regard to the Foundation’s reliance on ETC donations for its own purposes in that if the college wants to go along that route, it should be included in the amendment because the Foundation is a separate entity.

Chairperson Lizama said that there is a lot to be said about how the tax credit developed over the years but one thing is for sure, the target from the very beginning was to help out the private schools and not the public schools.

VI. MISCELLANEOUS

a. Marine Sanctuary Scoping Grant

Regent Torres informed the board that the Northern Marianas College was removed from receiving the benefits from the Marine Sanctuary Scoping grant because of administrative issues, among other things. Regent Torres said that the identified site in the grant is the lighthouse, but the site may not pass the grant requirements because of structural concerns. It is for this reason that he is interested

Chairperson Lizama said that the enthusiasm here is for the college to take an active role of the program. Regent Torres said that not necessarily to take full control of the program but that the input of the college would be solicited in the selection criteria. He added that the college could benefit by merging the Paupau Marine Lab that was suppose to be built but did not happen with the Marine Sanctuary Scoping Grant program. Furthermore, revenue could be generated from a visitor center and would place the college on the map. Chairperson Lizama agreed and recommended a feasibility study so the board would be able to make a determination.

President Hart will assign a representative from the college to meet with Mr. Arnold Palacios, Director DLNR to engage in discussions with the proposed Marine Scoping Grant.

VII. REVIEW AND ADOPTION OF MINUTES

1. July 14, 2011 Regular Meeting Minutes
Motion:  Regent Peter moved to table the July 14, 2011 Meeting minutes.
Second:  Regent Orilla seconded the motion.
Vote:  The motion passed unanimously.

VIII. EXECUTIVE SESSION

The executive session was called at 4:50pm on August 17, 2011. The executive session ended at 6:30pm on Wednesday, August 17, 2011. Upon reconvening, the board did not take any action.

IX. ADJOURNMENT

Motion:  Regent Rabauliman moved to adjourn the meeting at 6:30pm on Wednesday, August 17, 2011.
Second:  Regent Orilla seconded the motion.
Vote:  The motion passed unanimously.

The meeting ended at 6:30p.m. on Wednesday,  August 17, 2011.